

THE LONDON TECHNICAL ADVISERS GROUP (LoTAG)

GUIDANCE ON COMPETENCY & TRAINING - HIGHWAYS

Date: July 2018 Version: Version no.1.0

CONTENTS

ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTS	2		
DISCLAIMER				
1.	INTRODUCTION	4		
1.1.	LONDON TECHNICAL ADVISORS GROUP (LoTAG)	4		
1.2.	LoTAG GUIDANCE	4		
1.3.	LoTAG COMPETENCY & TRAINING GUIDANCE	4		
1.4.	TERMINOLOGY	4		
2.	GUIDANCE IN THE CODE OF PRACTICE	5		
2.1.	PURPOSE OF COMPETENCY & TRAINING	5		
2.2.	COMPETENCY & TRAINING			
2.3.	IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE	5		
3.	TRAINING AND COMPETENCY IN LONDON (MARCH 2018)	6		
3.1.	INTERPRETING THE RECOMMENDATIONS	6		
3.2.	TRAINING AND COMPETENCY IN LONDON (MARCH 2018)	6		
3.3.	FURTHER TRAINING / COMPETENCIES	7		
4.	TRAINING RECORDS	8		
4.1.	IDENTIFYING TRAINING NEED			
4.2.	TRAINING RECORDS	8		

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Officers and staff of the following organisations took part in workshops, focus groups and consultations to produce this document:

LoTAG Board and Chairs LoHEG, LoDEG, LoLEG, LoBEG Metis Consultants Ltd London Boroughs

DISCLAIMER

Metis Consultants Limited (Metis) have prepared this Guidance Document on behalf of the London Technical Advisers Group (LoTAG). The contents of this Guidance Document have been compiled based on focus groups, workshops and consultations of which the organisations listed in the Acknowledgements section of this Guidance Document took part. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this Guidance Document or any other services provided by Metis or LoTAG.

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this Guidance Document are based upon information provided by others and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested and that such information is accurate. Information obtained by Metis and LoTAG has not been independently verified by Metis or LoTAG, unless otherwise stated in the Guidance Document.

The work described in this Guidance Document is based on the conditions encountered and the information available during the period of production. The scope of this Guidance Document and the services are accordingly factually limited by these circumstances.

Metis and LoTAG disclaim any undertaking or obligation to advise any person of any change in any matter affecting the Guidance Document, which may come or be brought to Metis' or LoTAG's attention after the date of the Guidance Document.

Certain statements made in the Guidance Document that are not historical facts may constitute estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements and even though they are based on reasonable assumptions as of the date of the Guidance Document, such forward-looking statements by their nature involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results predicted. Neither Metis or LoTAG specifically guarantee or warrant any estimate or projections contained in this Guidance Document.

The User should take appropriate professional legal advice prior to implementing any recommendations made within this Guidance Document that may impact on the legal exposure of the User's organisation. Metis and LoTAG do not accept any responsibility arising from the use of, or adoption of recommendations in, this Guidance Document.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. LONDON TECHNICAL ADVISORS GROUP (LoTAG)

- 1.1.1. The London Technical Advisers Group (LoTAG) maintains a technical network for local government professionals and co-opted members in the highway and transport fields. It provides a centre for professional advice and assistance for local policy development and service delivery on a London wide basis. LoTAG is the regional grouping of TAG comprising a group of professional officers.
- 1.1.2. LoTAG represents all London highway authorities 33 Boroughs and Transport for London.

1.2. LOTAG GUIDANCE

1.2.1. LoTAG works for and with highway authorities to promote good practice and consistency in London. LoTAG guidance documents are not mandatory or a requirement, their purpose is to provide advice and support to members, including examples of good practice.

1.3. LOTAG COMPETENCY & TRAINING GUIDANCE

- 1.3.1. This guidance document provides guidance on an approach that members may wish to adopt when developing competency and training in line with support the adoption of the Code, Well Managed Highway Infrastructure: A Code of Practice (October 2016).
- 1.3.2. The document provides one way of approaching competency and training and does not prevent boroughs adopting an alternative approach.

1.4. TERMINOLOGY

1.4.1. The Code of Practice for Well-managed Highway Infrastructure (October 2016) is hereafter referred to as the Code.

2. GUIDANCE IN THE CODE OF PRACTICE

2.1. PURPOSE OF COMPETENCY & TRAINING

2.1.1. Ensuring those involved in managing, developing and delivering highway services should be appropriately training and competent to do so. Training is being taught a skill and Competence is the ability to do something. As such it is essential to ensure the people engaged in delivering highway services have the appropriate training and competency to ensure the activities are undertaken effectively with due consideration of risk.

2.2. COMPETENCY & TRAINING

2.2.1. The Code sets out the need to ensure that staff are suitably competent and experienced to undertake their duties. The Code states:

"Recommendation 15: The appropriate competencies for all staff should be identified. Training should be provided where necessary for directly employed staff, and contractors should be required to provide evidence of the appropriate competencies of their staff." (The Code, 2016: p37).

2.2.2. The UKRLG Guidance for Highway Infrastructure Asset Management Guidance Document (May 2013) which states:

"Recommendation 10: The appropriate competency required for asset management should be identified, and training should be provided where necessary" (Asset Management Guidance: p71).

- 2.2.3. Additionally, the Institute of Highway Engineers Well Managed Highways Liability Risk (March 2017) Section 5 – Training and Assessment of Competence. This guide recognises the benefit of competence and the need for a structured training programme, which the Institute of Highway Engineers is providing as part of their Highways Engineering Academy (Autumn 2018).
- 2.2.4. These recommendations require authorities to give due consideration to the training, competency and experience of staff at all levels of the organisation.

2.3. IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

- 2.3.1. The Code came into effect on 28 October 2016, running in parallel with its predecessor, which will be withdrawn in October 2018. Authorities should identify what activities and internal processes/approvals they require prior to this date and plan accordingly.
- 2.3.2. Legal advice should be sought when considering any changes to the existing approach to competency and training.

3. TRAINING AND COMPETENCY IN LONDON (MARCH 2018)

3.1. INTERPRETING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1.1. London's 33 boroughs and TfL have a differing approach to training from on the job experience to classroom based learning. The blend of competency / training across London is captured in section 3.2.
- 3.1.2. Across the Code and associated guidance, it is clear there is a need for each authority to determine what training and competency each officer and contractor will have to undertake to complete their duties. This is a local decision and it is important that a borough has defined and implemented their own training and competency framework.
- 3.1.3. Coverage as outlined in the Code and associated guidance is summarised, but not limited to, the following broad competencies/training. Training should recognise the possibility of legal challenge to decisions;
 - management,
 - developing the local approach to risk,
 - risk assessment and analysis,
 - maintenance planning,
 - making the right choices when designing and specifying techniques and materials,
 - work on site such as safety and other inspections, testing and maintenance works,
 - security awareness and relevant information on the security-minded approach adopted.
- 3.1.4. Professional registration is also recognised as a valuable standard for competence. Chartered and Incorporated professional status such as that set by the Engineering Council will provide robust basis for competence. Though even these professional standards require the ongoing Continuous Professional Develop to maintain the required levels of competency.

3.2. TRAINING AND COMPETENCY IN LONDON (MARCH 2018)

3.2.1. LoTAG Group 2 has undertaken an annual Status Report. During the 2018 reporting period each borough was asked their approach to competency and training across the organisation roles from decision makers to Customer Service Advisors. The results are collated in table 3.2. These results collate information gathered from boroughs on their current approach (March 2018) and for information, this is not a desired or minimum standard.

Г

	Borough Summary							
Staff Level	Formal	Informal	Qualifications Recommended					
	(Course names)	informat	Qualifications Recommended					
Policy & Decision Makers	 Winter Service Practitioners CDM 2015 	 Briefing papers Regular toolbox sessions ILM Level 5/7 CPD On the job training 	 Professional Membership Civil Engineering degree 					
Asset Manager / Owner	 HMEP Training Winter Service Practitioners Risk Training CDM 2015 Bridge Station 	 LoTAG Technical Group Meetings South London Consortium CIPFA HAMP Events GIS / Data base training Asset Management System supplier training Regular toolbox sessions CPD On the job training 	 Degree, HNC relevant experience 					
Highway Engineers	 NRSWA City & Guilds (Supervisors) Winter Service Practitioners CDM 2015 	 Asset Management System supplier training ILM Level 3 Regular toolbox sessions CPD On the job training 	 Degree, HNC relevant experience 					
Highway Inspectors / Claims Investigator	 NRSWA City and Guilds 6033 Units 301&311 LANTRA Highway Inspector Training 	 Asset Management System supplier training Regular toolbox sessions CPD On the job training 	 Relevant experience National Highways Inspector Register SWQR Licence 					
Support Staff		 City & Guilds (Supervisors) NRSWA Asset Management System supplier training Regular toolbox sessions Customer Services Advisors Skills Microsoft Applications CPD On the job training 	 English/Maths GCSE or equivalent 					

Table 3.2 Competency and Training London (March 2018)

3.3. FURTHER TRAINING / COMPETENCIES

3.3.1. To ensure that future training aligns with the Code and associated documents boroughs should consider training that meets the topics covered in the Code as referenced in section 3.1.3.

4. TRAINING RECORDS

4.1. IDENTIFYING TRAINING NEED

4.1.1. Staff engaged in managing and delivering the highway service should have suitable training and competency identified relevant to their responsibility. This should be identified for each individual in the team as well as services delivered through third party contractors on behalf of the authority.

The following table shows what this training identification might look like.

Example Role	Qualification	Training Examples ¹								
	Examples (or equivalent)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Policy and Decision Makers	Degree	\checkmark		~	~				\checkmark	
Highway Asset Managers	Chartered Engineer	✓	~	~	✓	✓	~	~	\checkmark	~
Highway Engineers	-		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Highway Inspectors	-			\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	
Customer Service Advisors	-			✓	✓					

1

- 1. Management
- 2. HMEP Asset Management e-Learning
- 3. Risk Based Approach
- 4. Risk assessment and analysis,
- 5. Maintenance planning,
- 4.2. TRAINING RECORDS

- 6. Designing and specifying techniques and materials,
- 7. Safety Inspections
- 8. Security awareness
- 9. Winter Service Practitioners
- 4.2.1. Every individual should be able to easily provide access to their training records and demonstrate they are working towards closing any gaps identified. Usually this will be in conjunction with internal appraisals and personal development programmes
- 4.2.2. Training records should recognise it may be necessary to provide evidence of training and competence in any court proceedings against the authority.